1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		SRD GENERATION PARTNERSHIP PROJECT 2 "3 G PP 2"APPROVED MEETING REPORT 3GPP2 Steering Committee Meeting #25 ARIB/CCSA/TIA/TTA/TTC October 25, 2004 Shanghai, China
14 15	1.	Opening
16 17 18 19 20 21		The meeting was opened by the Chair, Dr. Hideo Okinaka (KDDI) at 9:10 am. The Chair welcomed participants to Shanghai, and thanked CCSA, Huawei and China Unicom for the excellent arrangements for the meeting. Opening remarks were provided by:
22 23 24 25		<ul> <li>Mr. Zhang Xin Sheng – Depuy General Director – Bureau of Science and Tech of MII.</li> <li>Mr. Zhang Fan – China Unicom – Chief of Engineering.</li> <li>Mr. Sun Li Xin – Director of Wireless Research Department @ Huawei</li> </ul>
26 27 28	2.	Attendance Registration
28 29 30 31 32 33 24		The attendance roster (contribution <b>SC-20041025-002</b> ), which contains the names of participants who had registered for the meeting with the Secretariat, was circulated. Participants were invited to add to or amend the attendance list. The final attendance roster for the meeting is to be compiled as contribution <b>SC-20041025-002r1</b> and posted on the web site when available.
34 35 26	3.	Quorum Establishment/Call for Proxies
36 37 38 39 40 41		The Secretary conducted the roll call of participating Individual Member (IM) companies, Organizational Partners (OPs) and Market Representation Partners (MRPs). Twenty-five of the 26 quorum members were noted as present, constituting 96% quorum.
42 43 44 45 46 47 48	4.	Approval of the Agenda The Chair invited participants to review the draft agenda for the meeting contained in contribution SC-20041025-001r3. The agenda was approved as presented.

- 1 Note: New agenda item 14.4 was added by consensus during the course of the 2 meeting. The final agenda is logged as SC-20041025-001r4. 3 4 5. **Contribution Assignments and Status** 5 6 The Chair invited participants to review contribution SC-20041025-000r1 7
  - containing the list of contributions for the meeting. No comments were noted.

Note: Additional contributions were submitted during the course of the meeting. The final contribution list was logged as **SC-20041025-000r3**.

#### 12 6. **Review Meeting Summary from Previous Meeting(s)**

The Chair invited participants to review the summary of the June 2004 SC meeting held in Warsaw, Poland contained in contribution SC-20041025-003. No comments were noted. The draft report was approved as presented.

#### **DECISION 2004/10-01**

The Steering Committee approves the report of the June 2004 Steering Committee meeting held in Warsaw, Poland as presented in contribution SC-20041025-003.

It was recommended that future meeting reports provide a summary of actions and decisions, and that action items be documented so that they can stand out of context and not require cross-referencing to the remainder of the meeting report.

#### 26 7. Correspondence

The Chair introduced contribution SC-20041025-009 capturing inbound and outbound correspondence since the last face-to-face meeting. In summary:

#### SC-20041025-009A:

32 Covers correspondence from the SC Chair to the OMA Board Chair in June 2004 33 requesting that the OMA revisit and modify its IPR policy (approved by OPs 34 during June 2004 OP meeting).

#### 36 SC-20041025-009B:

37 Covers correspondence from the OMA Board Chair to the 3GPP2 SC Chair in 38 June 2004 acknowledging receipt of the correspondence contained in SC-39 20041025-009A. This correspondence was circulated to the SC by the SC Chair 40 and is submitted as a contribution to this SC meeting for information and appears 41 under agenda item 13.1.

#### 43 SC-20041025-009C:

- 44 Covers correspondence from the SC Chair to the ITU-T Director in June 2004 45 regarding the ITU-T Focus Group on NGN (approved by SC during June 2004 SC 46 meeting).
- 47 48

42

8 9

10

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27 28

29

30 31

35

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Cover respon corres contril 13.1. SC-20 Cover regard	<b>041025-009D:</b> s correspondence form the OMA Board Chair to the SC Chair formally nding to 3GPP2's correspondence of June 2004 (SC-20041025-009A). This pondence was circulated to the SC by the SC Chair and is submitted as a bution to this SC meeting for information and appears under agenda item <b>041025-009E:</b> s correspondence from 3GPP2 MRP the IPv6 Forum to the Secretariat ling 3GPP2's IPv6 efforts. This correspondence is submitted as a bution to this SC meeting for information and appears under agenda item
14	8.	MRP	Reports
15 16 17 18 19		8.1	<u>CDG</u> Mr. Sam Samra of the CDG presented the report of the CDG's activities contained in contribution <b>SC-20041025-014</b> .
20			
21 22			Questions for clarification yielded the following:
23 24 25 26			• The growth CDMA is widespread but is occurring mainly in the Asia- Pacific region and in Latin America. Additional information is on the CDG web site.
27		8.2	<u>IPv6 Forum</u>
28 29 30 31 32			No IPv6 Forum representative was present at the meeting. However, participants were directed by the Chair to contribution <b>SC-20041025-009E</b> consisting of an e-mail from the IPv6 Forum requesting the status of 3GPP2's adoption of IPv6.
33 34 35 36 37 28			The PMT Chair confirmed that an IPv6-related work item appears on the work plan. TSG-X noted it can provide a response to the correspondence, noting 3GPP2's IPv6 activities. The Chair remanded contribution SC-20041025-009E to the TSG-X Chair.
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45			ACTION 2004/10-01 In response to the e-mail received from the IPv6 Forum regarding 3GPP2's IPv6 activities (contribution SC-20041025-009E), the TSG-X Chair is to compile a response noting 3GPP2 IPv6 activities. When complete, this report is to be submitted to the Secretariat to go out over the SC Chair's signature.
45 46 47 48 49		8.3	IA450 Association No report.

1	9.	Liaiso	on Reports
2 3		9.1	SDO Undatas
3 4		9.1	SDO Updates
5			ARIB
6			
7			Mr. Akira Matsunaga (ARIB HoD), presented the report from ARIB
8			contained in contribution SC-20041025-016.
9			
10			The report noted that Matsunaga-san would be stepping down as ARIB
11			HoD at the end of 2004, to be replaced by Mr. Toru Kizuka (ARIB)
12			effective January 2005. Matsunaga-san was thanked for this service as
13			ARIB Head of Delegation and Kizuka-san was welcomed to the position.
14			
15			CCSA
16			
17			Mr. Wan Yi provided a verbal report of CCSA's activities.
18			
19			TIA
20 21			Ma Charul Dlum (TIA HaD) presented the report from TIA contained in
21			Ms. Cheryl Blum (TIA HoD), presented the report from TIA contained in contribution SC-20041025-023. The report contained a number of topics
22			discussed by the Steering Committee as follows:
23			discussed by the Steering Committee as follows.
25			Meeting Planning
26			incoming I tunning
27			TIA's report included a request to the Steering Committee that a
28			minimum of four weeks between super-meetings be
29			accommodated into the 3GPP2 meeting calendar. TIA
30			acknowledged that a four-week spread between ten super-meetings
31			in a calendar year might not be possible.
32			
33			Noting agenda item 14.1 covering the 2006 meeting calendar, the
34			SC Chair deferred discussion to that point on the agenda.
35			
36			Open Mobile Alliance
37			
38			TIA's report also mentioned internal discussions relative to
39 40			OMA's IPR policy, particularly the provision restricting licensing
40 41			on reasonable and non-discriminatory (RAND) terms to OMA documents published January 1 2005 or later. It was noted that
41			agenda item 13.1 covers OMA-related SC discussions.
43			agenda item 15.1 covers OwA-related SC discussions.
44			Publications Processing
45			
46			TIA noted it had submitted correspondence to the TSGs outlining
47			specific materials it would need during and following the 3GPP2
48			V&V process to conduct its own ballot process.
49			1 1

1		It was noted that TSG-C and TSG-S had not received this
2		correspondence. The TIA representative noted the correspondence
3		would be retransmitted to ensure delivery.
4		
5		TTA
6		
7		Mr. Hee Joung Lee (acting TTA HoD), presented the report from TTA
8		contained in contribution SC-20041025-019.
		Contained in Contribution SC-20041023-019.
9		
10		There were no questions for clarification.
11		
12		TTC
13		
14		Mr. Sadao Takenaka (TTC), presented the report from TTC contained in
15		contribution SC-20041025-017.
16		
17		There were no questions for clarification.
		There were no questions for charmenton.
18	0.0	
19	9.2	IETF
20		
21		Ms. Betsy Kidwell, TSG-X Chair, presented the IETF liaison report
22		contained in contribution SC-20041025-006B.
23		
24		There were no questions for clarification.
25		
26	9.3	<u>OMA</u>
20 27	).5	
28		Mr. Clif Barber (OMA liaison) presented the report of 3GPP2-OMA
29		liaison activities contained in contribution SC-20041025-021.
30		
31		The liaison noted that at least one TSG (TSG-X) is providing
32		contributions directly into the OMA. It was noted that these are not
33		liaison statements so they have not been recorded as such by the OMA
34		liaison. The liaison representative asked the Steering Committee for
35		direction on whether these contributions should be processed and/or
36		tracked by the OMA liaison.
37		nacked by the own indison.
		The Chain noted that since TCCs have been to work directly with the
38		The Chair noted that since TSGs have begun to work directly with the
39		OMA, and given that the OMA seems to have a better indication of
40		3GPP2's working structure, the Chair recommended that contributions
41		from TSGs do not need to be tracked. However, he added that liaison
42		statements, both inbound and outbound, should continue to be tracked.
43		There was no objection to the Chair's recommendation.
44		
45		DECISION 2004/10-02
46		Given the developing close working relationship between the TSGs (in
40 47		particular TSG-X) and the OMA, the Steering Committee agrees that
		particular 150-A) and the OWA, the Steering Committee agrees that
		contributions directly submitted to OMA by TSCs do not read to be
48 49		contributions directly submitted to OMA by TSGs do not need to be tracked by the OMA liaison representative. It was further decided that

1 2 2			liaison statements, both inbound and outbound, would continue to be tracked by the OMA liaison representative.
3 4 5	10.	TSG ]	Reports
5 6 7		10.1	<u>TSG-A</u>
8 9 10 11 12			Mr. George Turnipseed (Sprint), TSG-A Chair, provided the report of TSG-A activities contained in contribution SC20041025-004. The TSG-A Chair mentioned that elections for TSG-A leadership would be taking place during the opening plenary of the December 2004 meetings.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18			The TSG-A Chair noted that the action item to identify OMA documents referenced in TSG-A documents, remanded by the SC Chair via e-mail on October 4 2004, is ongoing and awaiting completion by the December 2004 TSG-A meeting. The TSG-A Chair does not anticipate any OMA references.
19 20			There were no questions for clarification.
20 21 22			There were no actions requested of the Steering Committee.
23		10.2	<u>TSG-C</u>
24 25 26 27 28 20			Dr. B.K. Yi (LG Electronics), TSG-C Chair, provided the report of TSG-C activities contained in contribution <b>SC20041025-005r1</b> . It was noted that the TSG-C leadership elections would be taking place during the December 2004 TSG meeting in Hawaii.
29 30 31			Questions for clarification yielded the following:
32 33 34			• The work concerning the initiation of cdma2000 revision E is in its infancy and no timelines have been set as of the present time.
35 36			The following actions were requested of the Steering Committee:
37 38 39			- Consider TSG-C input regarding OMA normative references (Attachment A) and close the associated action item.
40 41 42 43 44			It was noted that attachment "A" contains the list of OMA documents referenced in 3GPP2 documents, in response to the SC Chair's action request remanded via e-mail on October 4 2004. It was confirmed that the action item was closed.
44 45 46 47			- Consider TSG-C input regarding the Industry Notification related to SMS.

1		It was noted that TSG-C's recent industry notice had produced one
2		objection and a number of comments that were relayed to TSG-C. It
$\frac{2}{3}$		
5		was further noted that TSG-C is in the process of addressing this item.
4 5		
		The objection centered on the purpose of an industry notice – whether
6		this document should: (a) inform the community of an item requiring
7		clarification within a 3GPP2 document, or (b) inform the community
8		of an item requiring clarification within a 3GPP2 document, and, in
9		
		turn, propose a solution. Concern was expressed that if the manner in
10		which the document in question is ultimately revised were inconsistent
11		with the solution outlined in the preceding industry notice, this would
12		cause confusion.
13		
14		Noting the discussion, the Chair recommended that the submitted
		•
15		industry notice be withdrawn, and that TSG-C draft an industry notice
16		outlining the nature of the issue requiring alert, but indicating that the
17		proposed solution will be included in the next revision of the
18		document. There was no objection to this recommendation.
19		
20		DECISION 2004/10-03
20		The Steering Committee disapproves the SMS-related industry notice
22		recently submitted by TSG-C, and asks TSG-C to develop an industry
23		notice pointing out the nature of the issue requiring industry attention,
24		but noting that the proposed solution will be appearing in the next
25		revision of the related document.
26		
27		- Note the new TSG-C Work Item Process Guidelines as FYI.
28		- Wole the new 150-C Work tiem 1 rocess Guidelines as 1 11.
29		It was noted that TSG-C had formed a new WG, WG5 PMT, to
30		replace SWG1.5 Work Planning, and to support the new TSG-C WI
31		Process Guidelines.
32		
33		This was noted.
34		
35	10.3	TSC V
	10.5	<u>TSG-X</u>
36		
37		Ms. Betsy Kidwell (Lucent Technologies), TSG-X Chair, provided the
38		report of TSG-X activities contained in contribution SC20041025-006. It
39		was noted that TSG-X leadership elections would be occurring during the
40		December 2004 TSG-X meeting in Hawaii.
41		
41		The Chair thanked TSC V for the detailed report of OMA direct or d
		The Chair thanked TSG-X for the detailed report of OMA direct and
43		indirect references in Attachment "A", provided in response to the SC
44		Chair's action item remanded via correspondence on October 4 2004. It
45		was confirmed that the action item was closed.
46		
47		There were no questions for clarification.
48		1
49		The following actions were requested of the Steering Committee:
17		The following decions were requested of the Steering Committee.

1	
2 -	MMS Work Transfer to OMA
3	
	TCC V recommends that should an approximate he record with OMA
4	TSG-X recommends that, should an agreement be reached with OMA
5	regarding their IPR policy, the agreement on work transfer of MMS
6	reached between SC and OMA be honored, and that the transfer
7	process begin in January 2005.
8	
9	The SC Chair acknowledged that the Organizational Partners are
10	currently discussing 3GPP2's relationship with the OMA. He
11	recommended that the proposed course of action outlined by TSG-X
12	be accepted, contingent on the outcome of OP discussions on OMA
13	cooperation and OP approval of this course of action. There was no
14	objection.
15	
16	Further, the Steering Committee reviewed the list of TSG-X projects
17	proposed for transfer as outlined in contribution SC-20041025-006,
18	namely:
	hamery:
19	
20	• X.S0016-200-A (MMS Stage 2)
21	• X.S0016-310-A (MMS Stage 3 for MM1)
22	• X.S0016-340-A (MMS Stage 3 for MM4)
23	• X.S0016-370-A (MMS Stage 3 for MM7)
24	<ul> <li>Parts X.S0016-311, X.S0016-312 and X.S0016-330-A</li> </ul>
25	
26	DECISION 2004/10-04
27	The Steering Committee approves TSG-X's request to transfer the
	• • •
28	MMS projects outlined in contribution SC-20041025-006 to the OMA
29	effective January 1 2005, contingent on the outcome of OP discussions
30	on OMA cooperation and OP approval of this course of action.
31	
32	The SC Chair noted that he would provide communication to the TSGs
33	regarding the status of OMA relations following the conclusion of OP
34	discussions on this topic.
35	
36	ACTION 2004/10-02
37	Noting TSG-X's request to transfer the MMS-related projects
38	contained in SC-20041025-006 to the OMA on January 1 2005, and
39	noting the SC's acceptance of this request contingent on OP
40	discussions related to 3GPP2 cooperation with OMA, the SC Chair is
41	to communicate the outcome of OP discussions to the TSGs when
42	appropriate, so that the transfer process, if approved, can begin.
43	······································
44 -	2CDD2 Dequinements for Work Transformed to OMA
	3GPP2 Requirements for Work Transferred to OMA
45	
46	It was noted that TSG-X has agreed to adopt the RLP specifications
47	developed in OMA in support of 3GPP2 IP Location Based Services,

1	but it was stressed that RLP, in its current state, does not completely
2	satisfy the 3GPP2 requirements.
3	<b>y i</b>
4	TSG-X presented two options for transmitting 3GPP2 requirements
5	into work transferred to the OMA, either (a) relying on direct 3GPP2
6	member company participation in OMA's requirements development,
7	or (b) generating specific 3GPP2 requirements that are then liaised into
8	the OMA.
9	
10	In the discussion that followed, it was concluded that option (a)
11	presented by TSG-X would be the default approach, acknowledging
12	that member companies belonging to both organizations would convey
13	requirements during the course of normal operations. However, the
14	Chair noted that since 3GPP2 requirements in the case of IP-location
15	based services are not currently fully satisfied within the OMA, TSG-
16	X should compile a shortlist of the missing requirements and liaise this
17	list to the OMA. There was no objection to this course of action.
18	
19	DECISION 2004/10-05
20	Noting TSG-X's observation that 3GPP2 requirements in the case of
21	IP-location based services are not currently fully satisfied within the
22	OMA, the Steering Committee agrees that TSG-X should compile a
23	shortlist of the missing requirements and liaise this list to the OMA.
24	
25	Guidance from the Steering Committee was requested regarding
26	instances where 3GPP2 requirements are not fully accommodated
27	within OMA should be handled in future.
28	
29	The Chair recommended an approach wherein 3GPP2 member
30	companies that are also OMA member companies continue to convey
31	3GPP2 requirements to OMA during the course of normal operations.
32	However, he further noted that in instances where 3GPP2 members
33	have a concern about OMA requirements development, this concern
34	should be brought to the attention of 3GPP2 either via TSGs or the
35	Steering Committee – as member companies consider appropriate
36	depending on the issue, so that the appropriate action can be taken.
37	There was no objection
38	DECISION 2004/10 0/
39 40	DECISION 2004/10-06 The Steering Committee agrees that 2CDD2 members that are also
40 41	The Steering Committee agrees that 3GPP2 members that are also members of the OMA should continue to transmit 3GPP2
41 42	
42 43	requirements to the OMA via this normal membership channel.
45 44	However, in instances where 3GPP2 members have a concern about OMA requirements development, this concern should be brought to
44 45	the attention of 3GPP2 either via TSGs or the Steering Committee – as
43 46	member companies consider appropriate depending on the issue, so
40 47	that appropriate action can be taken.
47 48	
טד	

1 - 2	Tech Writer Support for Pre-Publication Review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Noting an increase in monitoring of editorial issues with 3GPP2 output documents, TSG-X requests the SC to allocate tech writer funding for a professional quality pre-publication review of 3GPP2 TSG-X specifications prior to their being submitted for SC approval. Attachment E of the TSG-X report was provided in support of this request, and it was noted that the needs outlined in this annex are in addition to existing TSG-X technical writer activities.
10 11 12 13	The SC Chair asked other TSG representatives to comment on whether they saw a similar need within their TSGs:
14 15 16	TSG-A – is currently using the services of a 3GPP2 technical writer and has no additional requirements.
17 18	TSG-C – has not identified this need as yet.
19 20 21 22	TSG-S – can always use assistance in the development of 3GPP2 output documents, but the volume of the TSG-S documents is not as much as the volume handled by the other TSG's.
23 24 25 26	The OP Finance Oversight Committee Chair noted that the 2005 budget is due to be approved during the October 26 2004 OP meeting and that the technical writer budget for 2005 has actually been decreased from 2004 levels.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	The SC Chair noted the input of the OP Finance Oversight Committee Chair, but concluded that it is the Steering Committee's responsibility to assess resource needs from a technical perspective, and to relay this need to the Organizational Partners accordingly so that financial and other repercussions can be discussed within that arena. He therefore sought confirmation from the Steering Committee that TSG-X's request for additional technical writer resources be accommodated. There was no objection.
36 37 38 39 40 41	<b>DECISION 2004/10-07</b> From a technical perspective, the Steering Committee approves TSG-X's request for 12 months additional pre-publication tech-writer editing resource.
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	ACTION 2004/10-03 The SC Chair is to bring TSG-X's request for 12 months additional pre-publication tech-writer editing resource to the attention of the Organizational Partners so that financial and other considerations can be explored.

1 2		10.4	<u>TSG-S</u>
$\frac{2}{3}$			Mr. Richard Robinson (Sprint), TSG-S Chair, provided the report of TSG-
4			S activities contained in contribution SC20041025-007. It was noted that
5			TSG-S leadership elections would be occurring during the December 2004
6			TSG-S meeting in Hawaii.
7			
8			There were no questions for clarification.
9			1
10			The following actions were requested of the Steering Committee:
11			
12			- Consider TSG-S input regarding OMA normative references
13			(Attachment B) and close the associated action item.
14			
15			It was noted that attachment "B" contains the list of OMA documents
16			referenced in 3GPP2 documents, in response to the SC Chair's action
17			request remanded via e-mail on October 4 2004. It was confirmed
18			that the action item was closed.
19 20			Note that full survives the second stars FVI for the SC.
20 21			- Note the following documents as FYI for the SC: TSC S Document Status Table - October 2004 (Attachment 4)
21 22			TSG-S Document Status Table - October 2004 (Attachment A)
22			Noted.
23 24			Noted.
25	11.	<b>3</b> GPP	2 Program Management
26			
27		Ms. E	ileen McGrath-Hadwen (NEC), Chair of the Project Management Team
28			), provided the report of PMT activities contained in contribution
29		SC-20	0041025-008.
30			
31		There	were no questions for clarification.
32			
33		The fo	ollowing actions were requested of the Steering Committee:
34			
35		-	On the subject of the processing of SC.P1002-0 v1.1: Publication
36			Numbering Guideline:
37			Request SC to circulate to the TSGs, SDOs and MRPs the comments
38 39			generated during Step 6 of the SC publication process, as summarized in Attachment C. Request SC to note that there is a comment resolution
40			session scheduled for this topic on Tuesday, December 7, 2004 5 –
40 41			6:30PM, in the TSG-S Plenary room. All interested TSGs, SDOs, MRPs
42			and IMs are requested to attend in order to help resolve the open issues.
43			
44			The Chair sought consensus from the Steering Committee on the course of
45			action outlined by the PMT on the subject of the processing of SC.P1002-
46			0 v1.1: Publication Numbering Guidelines. There was no objection.
47			

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15			<ul> <li>DECISION 2004/10-08</li> <li>The Steering Committee supports the PMT's request to circulate the comments generated during the review of SC.P1002-0 v1.1: Publication Numbering Guideline, to the TSGs, OPs , MRPs and individual member companies as summarized in contribution SC-20041025-008C.</li> <li>ACTION 2004/10-04</li> <li>The Secretary is directed to distribute contribution SC-20041025-008C, consisting of comments submitted during the review of SC.P1002-0 v1.1: Publication Numbering Guideline, to the TSGs, OPs, MRPs and individual member companies for review, and to alert all recipients of the PMT comment resolution session scheduled for this topic during the December 2004 TSG meetings in Hawaii.</li> <li>Endorse the work-plan of record, as per the monthly updates from the</li> </ul>
16			TSG work schedules.
17			
18			No objection was noted.
19			
20 21			<b>DECISION 2004/10-09</b> The Steering Committee endorses the 3GPP2 work-plan noted in
21			contribution SC-20041025-008A
23			
24	12.	<b>3</b> GPP	2 Publications Management
25			0
26		12.1	Review of DECISION 2004/06-14: Steering Committee Document
27		12.1	Review of DECISION 2004/06-14: Steering Committee Document Approval Process
27 28		12.1	Approval Process
27 28 29		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted
27 28 29 30		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved
27 28 29		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC-
27 28 29 30 31		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution SC-20041025-013 in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently,
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution SC-20041025-013 in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the understanding that the approved mechanism for the development, approval
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the understanding that the approved mechanism for the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level documents will be housed within the 3GPP2
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the understanding that the approved mechanism for the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level documents will be housed within the 3GPP2 Working Procedures Document, which is maintained by the
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the understanding that the approved mechanism for the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level documents will be housed within the 3GPP2
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution <b>SC-20041025-013</b> in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the understanding that the approved mechanism for the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level documents will be housed within the 3GPP2 Working Procedures Document, which is maintained by the
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution SC-20041025-013 in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the understanding that the approved mechanism for the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level documents will be housed within the 3GPP2 Working Procedures Document, which is maintained by the Organizational Partners. There was no objection to this course of action.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution SC-20041025-013 in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the understanding that the approved mechanism for the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level documents will be housed within the 3GPP2 Working Procedures Document, which is maintained by the Organizational Partners. There was no objection to this course of action. DECISION 2004/10-10
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45		12.1	Approval Process The Chair presented contribution SC-20041025-013 in which it was noted that the Steering Committee had approved the publication of the approved process for the development of SC-level library documents itself as an SC- level library document. It was further noted that, upon further review, this decision appears inconsistent with the development and approval of the Steering Committee document approval process by the Organizational Partners. Creating an SC-level document, would, in essence, place the approval process under the purview of the Steering Committee when it belongs under the purview of the Organizational Partners. Consequently, the Steering Committee was asked to withdraw the decision on the understanding that the approved mechanism for the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level documents will be housed within the 3GPP2 Working Procedures Document, which is maintained by the Organizational Partners. There was no objection to this course of action.

1		approval and maintenance of SC-level library documents, noting that the
2		contents will be housed within the 3GPP2 Working Procedures Document.
3		A CITION 2004/10.05
4		ACTION 2004/10-05 The SC Chaining to bring SC Designer 2004/10, 10 meting the with drawel
5		The SC Chair is to bring SC Decision 2004/10-10 noting the withdrawal
6 7		of the SC decision regarding the codification of the approved process for
8		the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level library
8 9		documents, to the attention of the Organizational Partners so that the Working Procedures Ad Hoc can work on accommodating the required
10		text within OP working-procedures-related documents.
10		text within Of working-procedures-related documents.
12	12.2	SC.R4002-0 v2.0 (Mobile Equipment Identifier (MEID) – Global
12	12.2	Hexadecimal Administrator (GHA) Assignment Guidelines and
13		Procedures) – status of SC review and vote
15		<u>11000ddios</u> Sudds of Se 100100 dha vote
16		The Secretary presented contribution SC-20041025-020 consisting of the
17		draft version 2.0 of the MEID GHA Guidelines. It was noted that this
18		document had been circulated for SC review and vote by e-mail
19		correspondence. It was announced that the vote period ended on October
20		23 2004 with an insufficient number of votes cast. It was noted that, of
21		the few votes cast, none objected to the document's approval.
22		
23		Consequently, the document is being brought to the attention of the
24		Steering Committee for approval while in session at this meeting.
25		
26		There was no objection to approval of SC.R4002-0 v2.0 – MEID GHA
27		Assignment Guidelines and Procedures.
28		
29		DECISION 2004/10-11
30		The Steering Committee approves SC.R4002-0 v2.0 – Mobile Equipment
31		Identifier Global Hexadecimal Administrator Assignment Guidelines and
32 33		Procedures.
33	12.3	Approval of SC.R1004-0 v1.0 – Release Planning Guidelines
35	12.5	Approval of SC. K1004-0 V1.0 - Kelease I failing Guidelines
36		It was noted that TSG-S had recently forwarded SC.R1004-0 v1.0 –
37		Release Planning Guidelines (contribution SC-20041025-022) to the
38		Secretariat for processing as an SC-level library document. Consequently,
39		this document is being presented to the Steering Committee for decision at
40		this meeting.
41		C
42		The Chair sought SC comments on whether approval of SC.R1004-0 v1.0
43		- Release Planning Guidelines could be conducted during this meeting as
44		opposed to via 15-day e-mail correspondence. No comments were
45		submitted.
46		
47		During the SC's review of the document, it was noted that section 8
48		appears incomplete. It was recommended that this section be deleted from
49		the final published document. There was no objection.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11			<ul> <li>DECISION 2004/10-12</li> <li>The Steering Committee approves SC.R1004-0 v1.0 – Release Planning Guidelines presented in contribution SC-20041025-022 as an SC-level library document, amended as follows:</li> <li>Cover page to be edited to update the document number (to SC.R not SC.P), to update the revision reference to 1.0, and to update the date of approval / publication.</li> <li>Section 8 to be deleted.</li> <li>Section 9 to be renumbered accordingly.</li> </ul>
12 13	13.	Old E	Business
13 14 15		13.1	Cooperation with Open Mobile Alliance
16			OMA Intellectual Property Rights Policy-related concerns
17 18			The following contributions were introduced for reference:
19 20 21 22 23			<b>SC-20041025-009A</b> – Correspondence from SC Chair to OMA Board Chair requesting that the OMA modify its IPR policy to allow for full work transfer between the organizations.
23 24 25 26 27			<b>SC-20041025-009B</b> – Correspondence from OMA Board Chair to SC Chair acknowledging receipt of the request contained in SC-20041025-009A.
27 28 29 30 31			<b>SC-20041025-009D</b> – Correspondence from OMA Board Chair to SC Chair providing a detailed response to the request contained in SC-20041025-009A.
32 33			SC-20041025-010 – Revised OMA IPR Policy
34 35 36 37			The Chair noted that, in response to concerns raised by both 3GPP and 3GPP2, the OMA had revised its IPR policy to allow licensing to both members and non-members on documents dated January 1 2005 and later. He further noted that the revised IPR policy was discussed by
38 39 40			OPs via teleconference and, as a result of this meeting the SC Chair remanded an action to the TSGs to identify OMA direct and indirect references within 3GPP2 documents. All TSG's had either provided a
41 42 43 44			response to this item or had provided an update verbally. The SC Chair took an action to relay this information to the Organizational Partners.
44 45 46 47			ACTION: 2004/10-06 The Steering Committee Chair is to bring to the attention of the Organizational Partners the outcome of the TSG's study of OMA
47 48 49			Organizational Partners the outcome of the TSG's study of OMA direct and indirect normative referencing within 3GPP2 documents as noted in SC20041025-005A (TSG-C input), SC20041025-007B

1	(TSG-S input), <b>SC20041025-006A</b> (TSG-X input), and the verbal
2	report form TSG-A provided during the October 2004 SC meeting to
3	the attention of the Organizational Partners.
4 5	Noting the OMA Board Chair's attendance at this meeting, the Chair
5 6	Noting the OMA Board Chair's attendance at this meeting, the Chair opened the floor for comments. The following points were reised:
0 7	opened the floor for comments. The following points were raised:
8	- Some older documents were developed by the WAP Forum and
8 9	not the Open Mobile Alliance. However, it was noted that the
10	OMA now owns copyright on all of the WAP Forum documents.
11	OWA now owns copyright on an of the war Torum documents.
12	- It was noted that the TSG's provided comprehensive reports of
12	OMA documents referenced in 3GPP2 documents, and that for
14	these OMA documents to continue to be referenced, they would
15	need to be revised to a date of January 1 2005 or later either en
16	masse, or on a case-by-case basis. The OMA Board Chair noted
17	that, at the present time, it is not known whether or not there will
18	be a mass revision of OMA documents. He added that the OMA
19	does welcome feedback on this topic from 3GPP2.
20	
21	3GPP2/OMA Cooperation Framework
22	
23	Participants were directed to contribution SC-20041025-015
24	consisting of a revised OMA-3GPP2 Cooperation Framework
25	document provided by the OMA. The document was reviewed by the
26	Steering Committee. During the review the following points were
27	made:
28	
29	- The OMA Board Chair explained that the OMA uses a
30	Cooperation Framework Agreement with legal entities, and a
31	Cooperation Framework document (no agreement required) with
32	non-legal entities. The OMA welcomes 3GPP2 feedback on this
33	document.
34	
35	- In terms of expectation, the OMA Board Chair stressed that the
36	cooperation framework document is not a formal agreement
37	requiring signature, and that the OMA is primarily seeking 3GPP2
38	comments on the document.
39	
40	- The SC noted that the document contains items of a legal nature
41	and that legal review would be required. This was noted.
42	
43	In conclusion the Chair noted that the Cooperation Framework
44	document is internal to OMA. No agreement is being sought from
45	3GPP2 but 3GPP2 is being asked to simply comment on the document.
46	He further noted that since the OMA Ad Hoc under TSG-S is still in
47	operation, the cooperation framework should be remanded to TSG-S to
48	identify any items contained therein that may need to be reconsidered
49	by the OMA, and to identify issues that the OMA might want to add.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19			The Chair also encouraged SC members to review the document and to provide input to TSG-S. He noted that the result of this review should be reported to the Steering Committee at its February 2005 meeting. Lastly, he noted that he would bring the document to the attention of the Organizational Partners for review from a legal perspective. There was no objection to this course of action. <b>ACTION: 2004/10-07</b> Contribution SC-20041025-015 consisting of the Cooperation Framework document provided by the OMA is remanded to TSG-S to identify any items contained therein that may need to be reconsidered by the OMA, and to identify issues that the OMA might want to add. TSG-S is to submit a report on this review at the February 2005 Steering Committee meeting. SC members are encouraged to provide input into TSG-S. <b>ACTION: 2004/10-08</b> The SC Chair is to provide contribution SC-20041025-015 consisting of the Cooperation Framework document provided by the OMA to the
20			Organizational Partners for review from a legal perspective.
21	14	NI 1	
22 23	14.	INEW I	Business
23 24		14.1	<u>3GPP2 Meeting Calendar – 2006</u>
25		17.1	<u>50112 Meeting Calendar 2000</u>
26			Contribution SC-20041025-012, consisting of the 3GPP2 2006 meeting
27			calendar reviewed by the TSG Chairs and approved by the SC Chair, was
28			provided to the Steering Committee.
29			
30			The Steering Committee discussed the TIA proposal submitted under
31			agenda item 9.1 to ensure a minimum of four weeks between TSG
32			meetings. It was noted that this requirement would have the net effect of
33			reducing the total number of TSG meetings to nine, from the current ten.
34			Views both in support of a ten-meeting cycle and a four-week gap
35			between meetings were expressed.
36			During the discussion it was noted that one way to allowing some of the
37 38			During the discussion it was noted that one way to alleviate some of the
38 39			problem might be for 3GPP2 to develop its calendar earlier. This was supported and encouraged.
40			supported and encouraged.
41			The Chair concluded that the current 2006 calendar presents a good
42			compromise given all of the requirements in place and indicated that no
43			modifications would be made.
44			
45			The Steering Committee also discussed the issue of spacing between
46			meetings in 2005. It was noted that most 2005 contracts are currently in
47			place. The Secretary was asked to revisit how the dates for 2005 were
48			determined. It was noted that any modifications would be subject to OP
49			approval given the financial penalties involved.

1		
2		ACTION: 2004/10-09
3		The Secretary is to revisit the process surrounding the dates of the 2005
4		meetings, looking closely at the spacing between meetings and seeing
5		whether any remedial action can be taken.
6		whether any remedial action can be taken.
7	14.2	TSG Leadership Transition
8	1 1.2	
9		The Chair presented contribution SC-20041025-011 providing guidance to
10		the TSGs on their upcoming leadership elections, scheduled to take place
11		at the December 2004 TSG meetings in Hawaii. The Chair asked SC
12		confirmation that current leadership terms should conclude at the end of
13		December 2004. It was further recommended that the SC confirm its
14		understanding that if an existing leadership term is assumed by a new
15		Chair or Vice-Chair following the resignation of a predecessor, then the
16		new Chair or Vice-Chair assumes the position for the duration of the
17		existing term, and not for a new two-year term.
18		······································
19		There was no objection.
20		
21		DECISION 2004/10-13
22		The Steering Committee confirms that current TSG leadership terms are to
23		conclude at the end of December 2004.
24		
25		DECISION 2004/10-14
26		The Steering Committee confirms its understanding that if an existing
27		leadership term is assumed by a new Chair or Vice-Chair following the
28		resignation of a predecessor, then the new Chair or Vice-Chair assumes
29		the position for the duration of the existing term, and not for a new two-
30		year term.
31		
32	14.3	Proposal for 3GPP2 Workshop on air interface and radio access network
33		evolution
34		
35		On behalf of all four TSG Chairs, the TSG-C Chair presented contribution
36		SC-20041025-018 calling for a 3GPP2 workshop on air interface and
37		radio access network evolution.
38		
39		In the discussion that followed, support for the concept of this workshop
40		was expressed, but it was noted that a scope for this activity would need to
41		be formulated, and that these discussions would need to involve the
42		Organizational Partners given that they would touch on 3GPP2's terms of
43		reference.
44		
45		The Chair recommended that the Steering Committee establish an SC-
46		level Ad Hoc group to define the terms of reference and scope of the
47		proposed 3GPP2 workshop. He further recommended that this Ad Hoc
48		group consist of the Steering Committee Chair and Vice-Chairs, the TSG
49		Chairs and Vice-Chairs, the TSG-S Vision Ad Hoc Chair, one

1		representative from each Organizational Partner, and the CDG
2		representative. The Ad Hoc would be charged with meeting via
3		teleconference and providing a recommendation to the Steering
4		Committee by November 23 2004 in preparation for an SC teleconference
5		meeting to take place November 30 2004. There was no objection to this
6		course of action.
0 7		
8		DECISION 2004/10-15
9		The Steering Committee establishes an SC-level Ad Hoc group consisting
10		of the Steering Committee Chair and Vice-Chairs, the TSG Chairs and
10		Vice-Chairs, the TSG-S Vision Ad Hoc Chair, one representative from
11		each Organizational Partner, and the CDG representative, to define the
12		terms of reference and scope of the proposed 3GPP2 workshop on air
13		interface and radio access network evolution. The Ad Hoc is charged with
14		meeting via teleconference and providing a recommendation to the
15 16		Steering Committee by November 23 2004 in preparation for an SC
10 17		• • •
17		teleconference meeting to take place November 30 2004.
18		The Chair appointed Mr. Corry Elymp (Verizon Wireless), SC Vice Chair
20		The Chair appointed Mr. Gerry Flynn (Verizon Wireless), SC Vice-Chair, to serve as the Ad-Hoc Chair. Mr. Flynn accepted the appointment and
20 21		indicated that the Ad Hoc would meet via teleconference on November 16
21 22		2004. Further details are to be announced.
22 23		2004. Futurel details are to be announced.
23 24	14.4	Chinaga position on TDD
24 25	14.4	Chinese position on TDD
25		CCSA presented contribution SC-20041025-024 proposing that TDD
20 27		activity be considered outside the scope of 3GPP2, and calling for the
27 28		stoppage of the processing of any existing work items on this subject
28		pending OP outcome of this discussion.
30		pending of outcome of this discussion.
30		There were no questions for clarification.
32		There were no questions for clarification.
33		Discussion on this item was rolled into agenda item 14.5.
34		Discussion on this term was folied into agenda item 14.5.
35	14.5	Information and position on proposed TDD work item
36	17.5	information and position on proposed TDD work term
37		Navini Networks presented contribution SC-20041025-025 for
38		information. The contribution outlines the TDD-related work item
39		submitted into 3GPP2 via the PMT work item process.
40		submitted into 56112 via the 1 with work term process.
40		The PMT Chair confirmed that a work item proposal has been presented
42		and is still open.
43		una is sum open.
44		There were no questions for clarification.
45		
46		In reviewing the material presented in contributions SC-20041025-024
47		and SC-20041025-025, the Chair offered that neither the Working
48		Procedures Document (WPD), Partnership Project Description (PPD), nor
49		Partnership Project Agreement (PPA) restrict 3GPP2's activities to FDD-

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		mode only, although he did acknowledge that ITU-R M.1457 does only cover FDD-mode. He concluded that since the question related to the scope of 3GPP2, he would bring this item to the attention of the Organizational Partners, and that TSG and PMT activities on this topic should continue until told otherwise. There was no objection to this course of action.
8		ACTION 2004/10-10
9		Noting contribution SC-20041025-024 from CCSA challenging the
10		applicability of TDD-related activity in 3GPP2, and contribution SC-
11		20041025-025 from Navini Networks informing the Steering Committee
12		of a TDD-related work item submitted into the 3GPP2 process, the SC
13		Chair is to bring this issue to the attention of the Organizational Partners
14		for further review in the context of 3GPP2 terms of reference.
15		
16		DECISION 2004/10-16
17		On the subject of the handling of the TDD-related work item by 3GPP2,
18		the Steering Committee agrees that work on this item should continue
19		until such time as the OPs might deem TDD-related activity outside the
20		scope of 3GPP2, at which point the TSGs and PMT will be notified
21		accordingly.
22		
23	15.	Assignments for Next Meeting
24		
25		See annex C
26		
27	16.	Date of Next Meeting
28		
29		The next face-to-face meeting of the 3GPP2 Steering Committee is scheduled for
30		February 22 2005 in New Delhi, India.
31		
32		The Steering Committee will be holding a teleconference meeting on November
33		30 to discuss the outcome of the activities of the SC Ad Hoc considering the
34		proposed 3GPP2 Workshop on air interface and radio access network evolution.
35		Further details will be announced.
36	1 7	
37	17.	Adjournment
38		It may noted that Dr. Hider Olivela has also to day the star decome as SC Chair at the
39 40		It was noted that Dr. Hideo Okinaka has elected to step down as SC Chair at the
40 41		conclusion of the 2003-2004 term. Noting that this was his last face-to-face SC
41		meeting as Chair, the Secretariat publicly thanked Dr. Okinaka for his dedication, service and leadership as Steering Committee Chair for the 2003-2004 term and
42 43		presented him with a certificate of appreciation and gift certificate on behalf of
43 44		the 3GPP2 organization. Dr. Okinaka received a standing ovation from the SC
45		body as an expression of gratitude for his service.
46		obay us an expression of grandude for his service.
40 47 48		There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:00pm.

1	ANNEX A:
2	Attendance / Quorum report for October 25 2004 Steering Committee meeting
3	Dert 1. In dividual Marshan Communication of Derts and Market
4 5	Part 1: Individual Member Companies, Organizational Partners and Market Representation Partners on quorum list noted as present at this meeting:
5 6	<u>Representation Partners on quorum list noted as present at this meeting:</u>
0 7	ALLTEL Communications
8	Axalto
9	Ericsson
10	Fujitsu, Ltd
11	Hitachi
12	KDDI
13	LG Electronics
14	LG Telecom
15	Lucent Technologies
16	Motorola
17	NEC
18	Nextel
19	Nokia
20	Nortel Networks
21	QUALCOMM, Inc.
22	Research In Motion
23	Samsung
24	Sprint
25	Toshiba
26	Verizon Wireless
27	
28	ARIB
29	TIA
30	TTA
31	TTC
32	
33	CDG
34	
35	Part 2: Individual Member Companies, Organizational Partners and Market
36	Representation Partners not on quorum list noted as present at this meeting
37 38	Alestal Shan shai Dall
38 39	Alcatel Shanghai Bell China Unicom
39 40	Huawei
40	Navini Networks
42	RITT
43	Via Telecom
44	
45	CCSA (second consecutive meeting - therefore returned to quorum list as of the close of
46	the October 25 2004 meeting).
47	
48	
49	Part 3: Guests / Observers noted as present
50	
51	Open Mobile Alliance
52	ITU (WP8F)
53	

# **ANNEX B:**

1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

26

41

45

# Decisions recorded at October 25 2004 Steering Committee meeting

#### **DECISION 2004/10-01**

The Steering Committee approves the report of the June 2004 Steering Committee meeting held in Warsaw, Poland as presented in contribution **SC-20041025-003**.

#### **DECISION 2004/10-02**

Given the developing close working relationship between the TSGs (in particular TSG-X) and the OMA, the Steering Committee agrees that contributions directly submitted to OMA by TSGs do not need to be tracked by the OMA liaison representative. It was further decided that liaison statements, both inbound and outbound, would continue to be tracked by the OMA liaison representative.

#### 15 **DECISION 2004/10-03**

16 The Steering Committee disapproves the SMS-related industry notice recently 17 submitted by TSG-C, and asks TSG-C to develop an industry notice pointing out 18 the nature of the issue requiring industry attention, but noting that the proposed 19 solution will be appearing in the next revision of the related document. 20

# **DECISION 2004/10-04**

The Steering Committee approves TSG-X's request to transfer the MMS projects outlined in contribution SC-20041025-006 to the OMA effective January 1 2005, contingent on the outcome of OP discussions on OMA cooperation and OP approval of this course of action.

# 27 **DECISION 2004/10-05**

Noting TSG-X's observation that 3GPP2 requirements in the case of IP-location
based services are not currently fully satisfied within the OMA, the Steering
Committee agrees that TSG-X should compile a shortlist of the missing
requirements and liaise this list to the OMA.

# 33 **DECISION 2004/10-06**

The Steering Committee agrees that 3GPP2 members that are also members of the OMA should continue to transmit 3GPP2 requirements to the OMA via this normal membership channel. However, in instances where 3GPP2 members have a concern about OMA requirements development, this concern should be brought to the attention of 3GPP2 either via TSGs or the Steering Committee – as member companies consider appropriate depending on the issue, so that appropriate action can be taken.

# 42 **DECISION 2004/10-07**

From a technical perspective, the Steering Committee approves TSG-X's request
for 12 months additional pre-publication tech-writer editing resource.

# 46 **DECISION 2004/10-08**

The Steering Committee supports the PMT's request to circulate the comments
generated during the review of SC.P1002-0 v1.1: Publication Numbering

1	Guideline, to the TSGs, OPs, MRPs and individual member companies as
2	summarized in contribution SC-20041025-008C.
3	
4	DECISION 2004/10-09
5	The Steering Committee endorses the 3GPP2 work-plan noted in contribution SC-
6 7	20041025-008A
8	DECISION 2004/10-10
9	The Steering Committee approves the withdrawal of Decision 2004/06-14
10	regarding the codification of the approved process for the development, approval
11	and maintenance of SC-level library documents, noting that the contents will be
12	housed within the 3GPP2 Working Procedures Document.
13	
14	DECISION 2004/10-11
15	The Steering Committee approves SC.R4002-0 v2.0 – Mobile Equipment
16	Identifier Global Hexadecimal Administrator Assignment Guidelines and
17	Procedures.
18	
19 20	DECISION 2004/10-12 The Steering Committee enproves SC B1004.0 v1.0 Belage Blanning
20 21	The Steering Committee approves SC.R1004-0 v1.0 – Release Planning Guidelines presented in contribution SC-20041025-022 as an SC-level library
21	document, amended as follows:
22	- Cover page to be edited to update the document number (to SC.R not
23	SC.P), to update the revision reference to 1.0, and to update the date of
25	approval / publication.
26	- Section 8 to be deleted.
27	- Section 9 to be renumbered accordingly.
28	
29	DECISION 2004/10-13
30	The Steering Committee confirms that current TSG leadership terms are to
31	conclude at the end of December 2004.
32	
33	DECISION 2004/10-14 The Steering Committee confirms its understanding that if an avisting leadership
34 35	The Steering Committee confirms its understanding that if an existing leadership term is assumed by a new Chair or Vice-Chair following the resignation of a
36	predecessor, then the new Chair or Vice-Chair ionowing the resignation of a
37	duration of the existing term, and not for a new two-year term.
38	
39	DECISION 2004/10-15
40	The Steering Committee establishes an SC-level Ad Hoc group consisting of the
41	Steering Committee Chair and Vice-Chairs, the TSG Chairs and Vice-Chairs, the
42	TSG-S Vision Ad Hoc Chair, one representative from each Organizational
43	Partner, and the CDG representative, to define the terms of reference and scope of
44	the proposed 3GPP2 workshop on air interface and radio access network
45	evolution. The Ad Hoc is charged with meeting via teleconference and providing
46	a recommendation to the Steering Committee by November 23 2004 in
47	preparation for an SC teleconference meeting to take place November 30 2004.
48	
49	

- 1 **DECISION 2004/10-16**
- 2 On the subject of the handling of the TDD-related work item by 3GPP2, the
- 3 Steering Committee agrees that work on this item should continue until such time
- 4 as the OPs might deem TDD-related activity outside the scope of 3GPP2, at
- 5 which point the TSGs and PMT will be notified accordingly.

6

# ANNEX C:

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

21 22

30

37 38

45

# Action items recorded at October 25 2004 Steering Committee meeting

# **ACTION 2004/10-01**

In response to the e-mail received from the IPv6 Forum regarding 3GPP2's IPv6 activities (contribution SC-20041025-009E), the TSG-X Chair is to compile a response noting 3GPP2 IPv6 activities. When complete, this report is to be submitted to the Secretariat to go out over the SC Chair's signature.

#### 10 ACTION 2004/10-02

Noting TSG-X's request to transfer the MMS-related projects contained in SC-20041025-006 to the OMA on January 1 2005, and noting the SC's acceptance of this request contingent on OP discussions related to 3GPP2 cooperation with OMA, the SC Chair is to communicate the outcome of OP discussions to the TSGs when appropriate, so that the transfer process, if approved, can begin.

#### ACTION 2004/10-03

The SC Chair is to bring TSG-X's request for 12 months additional prepublication tech-writer editing resource to the attention of the Organizational
Partners so that financial and other considerations can be explored.

# **ACTION 2004/10-04**

The Secretary is directed to distribute contribution SC-20041025-008C,
 consisting of comments submitted during the review of SC.P1002-0 v1.1:
 Publication Numbering Guideline, to the TSGs, OPs, MRPs and individual
 member companies for review, and to alert all recipients of the PMT comment
 resolution session scheduled for this topic during the December 2004 TSG
 meetings in Hawaii.

# ACTION 2004/10-05

The SC Chair is to bring SC Decision 2004/10-10 noting the withdrawal of the SC decision regarding the codification of the approved process for the development, approval and maintenance of SC-level library documents, to the attention of the Organizational Partners so that the Working Procedures Ad Hoc can work on accommodating the required text within OP working-procedures-related documents.

# **ACTION: 2004/10-06**

The SCChair is to bring to the attention of the Organizational Partners the
outcome of the TSG's study of OMA direct and indirect normative referencing
within 3GPP2 documents as noted in SC20041025-005A (TSG-C input),
SC20041025-007B (TSG-S input), SC20041025-006A (TSG-X input), and the
verbal report form TSG-A provided during the October 2004 SC meeting to the
attention of the Organizational Partners.

# 46 **ACTION: 2004/10-07**

47 Contribution SC-20041025-015 consisting of the Cooperation Framework
48 document provided by the OMA is remanded to TSG-S to identify any items
49 contained therein that may need to be reconsidered by the OMA, and to identify

issues that the OMA might want to add. TSG-S is to submit a report on this review at the February 2005 Steering Committee meeting. SC members are encouraged to provide input into TSG-S.

#### **ACTION: 2004/10-08**

The SC Chair is to provide contribution SC-20041025-015 consisting of the Cooperation Framework document provided by the OMA to the Organizational Partners for review from a legal perspective.

#### **ACTION: 2004/10-09**

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

14

11 The Secretary is to revisit the process surrounding the dates of the 2005 meetings, 12 looking closely at the spacing between meetings and seeing whether any remedial 13 action can be taken.

#### 15 ACTION 2004/10-10

Noting contribution SC-20041025-024 from CCSA challenging the applicability
 of TDD-related activity in 3GPP2, and contribution SC-20041025-025 from

- 18 Navini Networks informing the Steering Committee of a TDD-related work item
- 19 submitted into the 3GPP2 process, the SC Chair is to bring this issue to the
- 20 attention of the Organizational Partners for further review in the context of 3GPP2
- 21 terms of reference.