



APPROVED MEETING REPORT
3GPP2 Organizational Partners Meeting #20
ARIB/CWTS/TIA/TTA/TTC
June 26, 2003
Via Teleconference

1. Opening

Ms. Cheryl Blum, TIA Head of Delegation, opened the meeting at 7:00pm.

2. Attendance

The list of attendees is presented in contribution [OP-20030626-002](#).

3. Approval of the agenda

The agenda presented in contribution [OP-20030626-001](#) was reviewed. There were no changes to the agenda as presented.

4. Contribution Assignment and Status

The Secretary presented the contributions for the meeting as contained in the meeting folder on the 3GPP2 ftp site.

5. Approval of previous meeting summary

The Organizational Partners reviewed the draft summary of the previous meeting held on June 17 2003 (US time) contained in contribution [OP-20030626-003](#). There were no comments. The meeting summary was approved as presented.

6. Old Business

6.1 Consolidation of OP Comments ref: Publications Process Guidelines
ACTION 2003/05-01

The Chair presented contribution [OP-20030626-005](#), which expressed, in tabular format, TIA's proposal outlining the steps that would be taken incorporating a thorough TSG review and approval process. TIA indicated that it would use the thorough TSG review to introduce a parallel TIA ballot process, in accordance with TIA's Engineering Manual, but that all comments collected would be forwarded to the TSG for resolution.

Comments submitted following the presentation included the following:

- During clarification, it was explained that the parallel TIA balloting process would be separate from any 3GPP2 process put into place, but would occur in parallel. It was also noted that the TIA balloting process shown in the contribution is merely an illustration of how one Organizational Partner might wish to undertake the detailed review of the document, acknowledging that each OP would decide how its comments were to be collected.
- ARIB and TTC expressed support for the original flowchart presented in the PMT contribution SC-20030519-008A, noting that the OPs need to resolve the intent of the stage known as “TSG review by members”. ARIB and TTC indicated that they understood this stage to be a final confirmation of the content of the 3GPP2 output document (specification / report) after V&V, and that this stage is not intended to solicit additional comments.
- As far as resolving SDO comments is concerned, ARIB affirmed its understanding of the PMT documentation produced thus far, that if any SDO comments are generated during transposition of a 3GPP2 deliverable (i.e., after publication of the specification / report by 3GPP2) then these comments should be provided to the TSG, who would address them in time for the document’s *next* release.
- TIA noted that the Partnership Project Agreement (PPA) supports Organizational Partner participation *in* the 3GPP2 development process, and not waiting until the 3GPP2 development process is complete before providing input.
- TTA expressed agreement with the position put forward by ARIB/TTC, noting its support of a single process within 3GPP2.
- The Organizational Partners explored a scenario wherein transposition might yield additional technically substantive comments, in essence representing errors in the 3GPP2-approved document. The question was raised: Under the understanding that comments submitted during transposition would not be addressed until the next release, would manufacturers be expected to build to the 3GPP2 specification? It was noted that most of the companies that might be submitting comments during SDO transposition are already 3GPP2 members, and that any comments they might submit should be submitted as part of the 3GPP2 process prior to 3GPP2 publication? It was further noted, however, that the scenario presented did actually exist in the days prior to informal introduction of an SDO review period in the development process, where substantive comments were submitted during SDO transposition, culminating in the immediate revision of the 3GPP2

specification. It was suggested, in response, that all comments should be submitted into the 3GPP2 process for consideration prior to 3GPP2 publication.

Noting the differences of opinion expressed, the Chair acknowledged that more time would be needed to discuss this issue further, both within the TIA Delegation and as Organizational Partners.

It was decided that a report outlining the OP's activities thus far would be prepared and submitted to the SC Chair for delivery to the PMT as information at its July meeting.

It was decided that the Organizational Partners would meet again following the July meetings to continue discussions and reach resolution on this item. A schedule would be set to ensure resolution in a timely manner. See agenda item 9 for more information.

ACTION 2003/06-02

The Chair is asked to work with the Secretariat to prepare a report of OP discussions thus far on the publications process guidelines, for delivery to the SC Chair in time for the PMT meeting scheduled to take place during the July 2003 TSG meetings.

6.2 Consolidation of OP Comments ref: Release Planning Process Guidelines
ACTION 2003/05-02

The Chair acknowledged the comments submitted by ARIB and presented at the last OP meeting, and asked if there was any additional input by other Partners. None was noted.

Consequently, discussion on this item was deferred to the next meeting of the Organizational Partners.

6.3 OP Input Regarding Mechanism for Approval of SC Resource Library
Documentation - ACTION 2003/05-03

It was noted that comments regarding this item were to be addressed by the Working Procedures Ad Hoc, but given that only one OP has submitted comments thus far, and given the continuing discussions by the Organizational Partners, it was decided that the Secretary would solicit OP comments once more regarding this item, in time for the next Organizational Partners meeting.

ACTION 2003/06-03

The Secretary is instructed to redistribute OP action 2003/05-03 regarding input for a mechanism to approve SC-level internal documentation.

7. Any Other Business

7.1 Status of X.S0017 (and cdma2000 trademark)

The Secretary explained that X.S0017 was recently presented for 15-day Steering Committee review, during which a comment was submitted by two Organizational Partners (ARIB and TTC), concerning the cdma2000 trademark. The comment, presented in contribution [OP-20030626-004](#), called for the removal of the footnote noting TIA ownership of the trademark, given the international nature of 3GPP2 documentation.

The Secretary was consulted as to whether it objected to these comments being brought to the attention of the Organizational Partners. No SC objection was noted, hence the appearance of this item on the agenda.

Dan Bart presented TIA contribution [OP-20030626-006](#), which acknowledged and accepted the ARIB and TTC concern. However, TIA noted an obligation to seek to protect the trademark and noted that an absence of any footnote denoting the trademark would ultimately lead to its loss altogether. The TIA contribution cited several examples. The TIA contribution proposed alternative language that might be used as a footnote on 3GPP2 documentation, denoting use of the term by the Organizational Partners, and, as a secondary measure, denoting those territories in which the term has been registered as a trademark, currently only in the USA.

It was noted that the superscript ® would continue to appear in 3GPP2 documentation.

It was acknowledged that, since the Organizational Partners were seeing the contribution for the first time, more time might be needed for review. Consequently, it was decided that the Secretariat would initiate a 14-day OP review of the TIA proposal, and that further OP action would depend on the nature of any comments submitted.

TIA also acknowledged the need for an editorial correction to the contribution, removing the term “Annex” from the header in the main body of the document, and indicated it would create [OP-20030626-006r1](#) for distribution.

ACTION 2003/06-04

The Secretariat is instructed to initiate a period of review of the TIA proposal concerning the cdma2000 trademark contained in contribution [OP-20030626-006r1](#). The review period is to end by close of business July 11.

8. Assignments for Next Meeting

The action items captured during the meeting are noted as follows:

(Note: ACTION 2003/06-01 appears in the report of the June 17 2003 OP meeting)

ACTION 2003/06-02

The Chair is asked to work with the Secretariat to prepare a report of OP discussions thus far on the publications process guidelines, for delivery to the SC Chair in time for the PMT meeting scheduled to take place during the July 2003 TSG meetings.

ACTION 2003/06-03

The Secretary is instructed to redistribute OP action 2003/05-03 regarding input for a mechanism to approve SC-level internal documentation.

ACTION 2003/06-04

The Secretariat is instructed to initiate a period of review of the TIA proposal concerning the cdma2000 trademark contained in contribution **OP-20030626-006r1**. The review period is to end by close of business July 11.

9. Date of Next Meeting

It was arranged that the next meeting of the Organizational Partners would take place via teleconference the evening of July 29 2003 at 8:00pm (US EDT). This would be followed, if needed, by a face-to-face meeting of the Organizational Partners to be held on Sunday, August 17 2003 in Seoul, Korea from 3:00pm (1500hrs) to 8:00pm (2000hrs), where resolution of open items would be expected.

10. Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:45pm.