



**APPROVED MEETING REPORT**  
**3GPP2 Organizational Partners Meeting #19**  
**ARIB/CWTS/TIA/TTA/TTC**  
**June 17, 2003**  
Via Teleconference

---

**1. Opening**

The meeting was opened by Ms. Cheryl Blum, TIA Head of Delegation, at 7:05pm. It was noted that Ms. Blum had volunteered to Chair this teleconference meeting of the 3GPP2 Organizational Partners.

**2. Attendance**

The Secretary alerted the delegates to contribution [OP-20030617-002r1](#) posted on-line in preparation for the meeting. A roll-call was taken. It was noted that any necessary amendments would be made to contribution 002 which will be re-posted.

**3. Approval of the agenda**

The Chair reviewed the agenda for the meeting, presented in contribution [OP-20030617-001r2](#), and asked if there were any modifications. None were noted.

**4. Contribution Assignment and Status**

The Secretary was asked to review the contributions for the meeting presented in contribution [OP-20030617-000r2](#). No additional contributions were noted.

**5. Approval of previous meeting summary**

The delegates reviewed the summary of the previous meeting presented in contribution [OP-20030617-003r1](#). The Chair asked if there were any comments or questions. None were noted. The report was approved as presented.

**6. New Business**

- 6.1 [Consolidation of OP Comments ref: Publications Process Guidelines \(ACTION 2003/05-01\)](#)

It was noted that two contributions had been submitted relative to this topic. ARIB submitted contribution **OP-20030617-004** and TIA submitted contribution **OP-20030617-005**.

Mr. Akira Matsunaga, ARIB HoD, presented contribution **OP-20030617-004**, which supports the proposal presented by the Project Management Team (reference document SC-20030519-008A), and notes the adherence of the PMT proposal to the Partnership Project Agreement (PPA), signed by all 3GPP2 Partners, both Organizational and Market Representation.

There were no questions for clarification.

The Chair presented contribution **OP-20030617-005** on behalf of TIA. The contribution emphasized that all development work, including the treatment of any comments, should occur within the 3GPP2 TSG. It also emphasized that the OP should engage in a final review of the specification/report under development. However, the TIA HoD did indicate that TIA needed more time to work through some of the details.

The TIA contribution yielded a number of questions and comments, as follows:

- It was noted that the flow-diagram in the TIA contributions calls for input from the Organizational Partners on two occasions, the first as part of a technical review of the specification/report under development, the second occurring during the transposition process where, regional and other variations may be brought to light, assuming the technical review is thorough and addresses all technical comments.
- As for whether the OP input should be consolidated (i.e., the SDO consolidates the inputs of its individual members before presenting the result to 3GPP2) or separate comments submitted by the OP's member companies directly to the 3GPP2 TSG is an item still under discussion within TIA.
- TIA was asked what kind of input is expected from a technical OP review as suggested in the TIA contribution, when almost all constituent individual members of the OP are TSG members within 3GPP2. TIA explained that, per its Engineering Manual and accreditation by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), it has an obligation to expose the document under development to all materially and directly affected parties, including the community outside 3GPP2, regardless of the lead group developing the document in question. TIA reinforces this practice in all formulating groups, seeking input from external organizations as necessary. Although it is envisaged that those TIA members that are 3GPP2 IMs might bring their comments

directly into the TSG through their 3GPP2 participation, the technical OP review is in place to allow any additional comments to be addressed, although it cannot exclude any comments submitted during the process. Again, it was noted that TIA has not completed reviewing these details.

- Speaking for TIA, the Chair added that although it is hoped that the V&V process would be rigorous and would identify inconsistencies as part of the discussions, TIA still has to allow for the possibility that any comment can be submitted at any time, and all comments need to be addressed. It was re-stressed, however, that TIA would submit all comments received to 3GPP2 for comment resolution.
- ARIB expressed concern with regard to the technical OP review noted in the TIA contribution, noting that although it allows more flexibility, it could lead to greater confusion since it provides two fora where inputs can be submitted, even though all comments are to be addressed by the 3GPP2 TSG. TIA noted the concern and emphasized that this was one of the reasons why more time was needed to go through these items.

The following next steps were agreed upon:

- Noting TIA's need for more time, it was suggested that TIA work on the open issues further, before the July TSG meetings.

**ACTION: 2003-06-01**

TIA is asked to continue addressing its open issues with regard to the publications process guidelines (SC-20030519-008A) in preparation for the July meeting of TSG-S and the PMT.

- Noting the continued desire to consolidate input at the OP level, it was recommended that further teleconference meetings of the Organizational Partners take place prior to the July TSG meetings in order to further discuss this issue.

*(See Agenda Item 9 for further details)*

6.2 Consolidation of OP Comments ref: Release Planning Process Guidelines (ACTION 2003/05-02)

Mr. Akira Matsunaga, ARIB HoD, presented contribution **OP-20030617-004**, supporting the continued work of the PMT regarding the release planning process, and supporting a consistent procedures across all TSGs for submission of input to the PMT.

TIA noted that it needed more time to discuss this item since all of its recent meetings had been spent discussing the publications process guideline.

*(See Agenda Item 9 for further details)*

6.3 OP Input Regarding Mechanism for Approval of SC Resource Library Documentation (ACTION 2003/05-03)

It was noted that, per Action 2003/05-03 captured in the report of the May 20 OP meeting, OPs were invited to provide input on this item by June 20. Noting that June 20 is several days away, the OPs decided to allow time for this input to be submitted per the original action item.

**7. Any Other Business**

None noted.

**8. Assignments for Next Meeting**

The action items issued at this meeting were as follows:

**ACTION: 2003-06-01**

TIA is asked to continue addressing its open issues with regard to the publications process guidelines (SC-20030519-008A) in preparation for the July meeting of TSG-S and the PMT.

**9. Date of Next Meeting**

Given the agreement to consolidate all OP input before presentation to the SC Chair for submittal to the PMT, it was recommended that an OP teleconference meeting be scheduled to accommodate further discussion. Consequently, the following date and time were established:

- June 26, 2003 – 7:00pm / 1900hrs US - EDT

It was noted that this may be followed by a further meeting the evening of July 14 (US time) if needed.

**10. Adjournment**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:40pm.