

**Third Generation Partnership Project 2 (3GPP2)**  
**TSG-N**  
**Meeting Summary**  
**January 7 – 11, 2002**  
**Vancouver, BC Canada**

**Quorum List, Individual Members and Organizational Partners Present**

<b>IM, SDO, MRP</b>	<b>Representative</b>
1 CommWorks	J. Shih
2 Ericsson	S. Ancil
3 LGE	H. J. Lee
4 Lucent Technologies, Inc.	B. Kidwell
5 Motorola	C. Ishman
6 NEC	H. Okata for S. Jones
7 Nokia	T. Brooks
8 Nortel Networks	M. Bienn
9 Qualcomm	J. Nasielski
10 Schlumberger SEMA	G. Schumacher
11 Sprint PCS	L. Young
12 Verizon Wireless	R. Ephraim

**Others Present**

<b>IM, SDO, MPR, Observer</b>	<b>Representative</b>
1 CommFlowResources	G. Pellegrino
2 dynamicsoft	D. Willis
3 Ericsson	L. Dinale
4 Ericsson	N. Bishai
5 Fujitsu	A. Hameed
6 LGE	F. Kujawski
7 Lucent Technologies, Inc.	R. Bunting
8 Lucent Technologies, Inc.	C. Teising
9 Lucent Technologies, Inc.	T. Towle
10 Motorola	B. Bonner
11 NCS	M. Risser
12 Nokia	C. Rhoades
13 Qualcomm, Inc.	D. Crowe
14 Sprint PCS	B. Egbert
15 Sprint PCS	L. Dreiling
16 Sprint PCS	S. Manning
17 Telcordia	A. Thaper
18 Telcordia	Z. Zeb
19 Telcordia	S. Zhang
20 Verizon TSI	B. Levitan

Opening Plenary:

Correspondence was received from IFAST regarding SID reclamation. This was treated as FYI.

Correspondence was received from TSG-S providing a stage 1's for Enhanced Call Recovery, Multimedia Messaging Service, Multimedia Streaming, Packet Data Surveillance, and Legacy Packet Data Surveillance. Comments were requested on each of these.

Correspondence was received from TSG-S regarding a revised Stage 1 submittal process. Comments were requested.

Correspondence was received from TSG-A to TSG-C regarding CCPD. This was treated as FYI.

Correspondence was received from TSG-S requesting diff-marked text when commenting on TSG-S documents. This was supported in concept when applicable.

Correspondence was received from TSG-S to the UIM Administrator requesting that they be copied on the distribution of the UIM Administrator's report.

Correspondence was received from the UIM Administrator providing the UIM Administrator's report.

Correspondence was received from TSG-S providing a new version of the LMSD System Requirements document. Comments were requested.

Correspondence was received from TSG-S providing correction to previous correspondence regarding worksheets for review. Comments were requested.

Correspondence was received from TSG-C to TSG-P requesting that they also receive reports of relevant IETF activities.

WG1:

The Stage 1's from TSG-S were reviewed. It was agreed that correspondence providing comments would be prepared for the closing plenary.

The new worksheets from TSG-S were reviewed. It was agreed that correspondence providing comments would be prepared for the closing plenary.

The Legacy Call Features Stage 1 previously received from TSG-S was reviewed. It was agreed that correspondence providing comments would be prepared for the closing plenary.

Draft correspondence to TSG-S providing an updated worksheet for Priority Service was reviewed. It was agreed that the modified correspondence would be prepared for the closing plenary.

WG2:

Several contributions were reviewed pursuant to a revision of the NAM being liaised to TSG-S. It was agreed that correspondence, a response to previous TSG-S comments, and a diff-marked NAM would be prepared for the closing plenary.

A draft correspondence to TSG-S proposing a replacement figure for 3.2.3a in the Evolution document was reviewed. It was agreed that correspondence would be prepared for the closing plenary.

Reviewed the TSG-S LMSD System Requirements document. It was agreed to send correspondence to TSG-S recommending that this version replace the previously distributed version. A few comments would be provided in the letter, and an indication that further comments would be provided next month.

WG3:

Nothing to report.

WG4:

It was agreed that the WG4 chair would contact the UIM Administrator directly to clarify the information needed in the UIM Administrator's report.

Closing Plenary:

Updated correspondence was received from TSG-S regarding the Stage 1 Process Improvements. This was distributed for review and comment in Feb.

Correspondence to TSG-S providing updates to the NAM was approved to send as modified.

Correspondence to TSG-S providing a replacement figure for 3.2.3a in the Evolution documents was approved to send as modified.

Correspondence to TSG-S recommending that the new LMSD System Requirements document replace the previously distributed version, and providing a few comments was approved to send as modified.

Correspondence to TSG-S responding to TSG-As concerns about features with no Stage 1 was approved to send as modified.

Correspondence from TSG-P responding to our request for information on IETF was distributed for information.

Correspondence to TSG-S providing an updated worksheet for Priority Service was approved to send.